

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 1281 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

KANDLA PORT TRUST RETIRED AND PENISONER'S ASSOCIATION

Versus

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE PORT OF KANDLA

Appearance:

MR MR ANAND for Petitioner
M/S NJ MEHTA ASSO. for Respondent No. 1
MR BB NAIK for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

Date of decision: 17/12/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

When the matter was called out last time, as well as today, it was pointed that some of the Office bearers have intimated the learned advocate for the petitioners that the resolution has been passed, not to persue this petition, and therefore, it is required to be disposed of. However, the learned advocate for the petitioner submitted that, a copy of the resolution is

not received despite intimation to the
petitioner-Association.

Since the matter is of 1988 and it may happen
that the Association after having taken such decision, it
might not thought it fit to inform, confirm or send a
copy of resolution, which would not act as an impediment
in the disposal of the matter, which has been rendered
infructuous.

In the circumstances, it is disposed of having
become infructuous.

In case of difficulty, liberty is reserved.

Rule discharged.

(J.N.BHATT, J)

patil/-